S.Horvat

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Munich

# Determination of the single-tube resolution with tracks



Ringberg, February 13-15th, 2005

#### Outline

The correct spatial drift-tube resolution  $\sigma(r)$  is needed for the precise reconstruction of muon trajectories.

 It depends on the operating parameters of MDT chambers and background count rates in ATLAS cavern ⇒ it has to be repedeatly redetermined during detector operation.

Two approaches for the resolution determination using muon tracks:

- conventional iteration method
- $\chi^2$ -metod

Both methods tested for the performance in ATLAS environment:

- tracks at large angles of incidence on the chamber
- curved tracks in a magnetic field
- additional hits caused by the background irradiation

Tests are done with the Monte-Carlo and the X5-testbeam data.

## Track Reconstruction and Single-Tube Resolution



Parameters  $\alpha_k$  are obtained by the  $\chi^2$ -minimization:

$$\frac{\partial \chi^2}{\partial \alpha_k} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{hits}} w_i \left[ r(t_i) - r_i^{(fit)} \right]^2$$

•  $r_i^{(fit)} = f(\alpha_k)$  - shortest distance of the trajectory from the  $i^{th}$  wire •  $r(t_i) \equiv r_i$  - measured drift radius of the  $i^{th}$  track point •  $w_i$  - weight of the  $i^{th}$  track point,  $w_i = 1/\sigma_{est}^2(r_i)$ 

#### Track Reconstruction Accuracy

Averaging over a sample of identical muon tracks gives the variance of the track fit,  $\sigma_{fit}^2$ :

$$\sigma_{fit}^{2} = \left\langle \left( \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left( \alpha_{k} - \langle \alpha_{k} \rangle \right) \cdot z^{k-1} \right)^{2} \right\rangle = F(w_{i}, \sigma(r_{i}))$$

Best accuracy achieved with  $w_i = 1/\sigma^2(r_i)$ , i.e.  $\sigma_{est}(r_i) = \sigma(r_i)$ 



The reconstruction of muon trajectories relies on the accurate knowledge of the spatial single-tube resolution  $\sigma(r)$ .

• It can be determined using muon tracks.

## Iteration Method for Resolution Determination



Spatial resolution  $\sigma(r_m)$  of the tube *m*:

- Hit  $r_m$  excluded from the track fit.
- Track reconstruction through the remaining hits  $r_{i,i\neq m}$  predicts the position  $r_m^{(fit)}$  in tube m.
- Repeated over a sample of identical muon tracks.

Comparing  $r_m$  and  $r_m^{(fit)}$  gives:  $\sigma^2(r_m) = \sigma^2(r_m^{(fit)} - r_m) - \sigma_{fit}^2(r_m^{(fit)})$ The track fit variance  $\sigma_{fit}^2(r_m^{(fit)})$  depends on the resolution  $\sigma(r_{i,i\neq m})$ .

- ullet ightarrow starting with estimate  $\sigma_{est}$  ightarrow obtain the new resolution curve ightarrow
- Iterating until the obtained resolution agrees with estimated one.

# $\chi^2$ -Method for Resolution Determination

The track fit variance has a known dependence on the spatial resolution,  $\sigma_{fit}^2(r_m^{(fit)}) = F(\sigma(r_{i,i\neq m})) \Longrightarrow$ 

Measured distribution  $\sigma^2(r^{(fit)} - r)$  can be described analytically:  $\sigma^2(r_m^{(fit)} - r_m) = \sigma^2(r_m) + \sigma^2(r_m^{(fit)})$  $= \sigma^2(r_m) + F(\sigma(r_{i,i\neq m})) = \Sigma_m(\sigma(r))$ 

•  $\sigma^2(r_k^{(fit)} - r_k) \equiv \sigma_k^2$  determined from pool of  $n_k$  tracks at each  $r_k$  bin

• Resolution curve parametrized by Legendre polynomials,  $\sigma^{2}(r) = \sum_{l} c_{l} \cdot P_{l}(r).$ 

• Parameters  $c_l$  obtained from the  $\chi^2$ -minimization:

$$\chi^2 = \sum_k \left[ \frac{n_k}{\sigma_k^2} \left( \sigma_k - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k \right)^2 \right]$$

The method is independent of the initial resolution estimate  $\Longrightarrow$  no need for iterations.

#### Special Treatment Near the Anode Wire

• The (r(t) - r(true))-distribution is asymmetrical close to the wire, but the tracking methods assume a gaussian distribution  $\implies$  the  $(r - r^{(fit)})$ -measurement in the <u>first bin is excluded</u>.



• Instead, the resolution near the wire is obtained from the drift-time spectrum:  $\sigma(r=0) = a \cdot T_0 \cdot v(r=0)$ .

 $T_0$  - rise time, v - drift velocity

MTGEANT-4 simulation of muon tracks traversing a 6-layer chamber (BOS chamber):

- Straight tracks at different angles of incidence  $\theta = 0^{\circ}, 13^{\circ}, 24^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}, 41^{\circ}, 45^{\circ}, 51^{\circ}$ 
  - test of both methods with a straight track fit
  - test of both methods with a curved track fit (curvature = 0)

Curved tracks with different curvatures, at 0° angle of incidence curvature = 20, 45, 90 μm
(p<sub>T</sub> = 80, 40, 20 GeV/c for B=0.4 T)
- test of both methods with a curved track fit

#### Monte-Carlo Studies: Initial Resolution Estimate

Starting with three different initial resolution estimates:



- i) true resolution
  - convergence expected already after the first step
  - test the limits on the accuracy
- ii) background irradiation effect
  - representative case for ATLAS
- iii) constant resolution
  - extreme case for the test of convergence

#### Accuracy with the Straight Track Fit

i) True resolution as the initial estimate:

- Both methods converge in one step.
- Similar accuracy is obtained for both methods.



• The width of the difference:

$$D_{rms} = \sqrt{\left[\sum_{bin} (\sigma_n - \sigma)^2\right]/N_{bins}} \approx 2 \ \mu m$$
 (with ~20 000 tracks)

## Convergence with Straight Track Fit

ii), iii) Initial estimate different from true resolution:

- Iteration method needs several iteration steps to converge.
- $\chi^2\text{-method}$  converges in one step



• Final accuracy is independent of the initial estimate, similar accuracy of  $\sim 2 \ \mu m$  obtained with both methods.

#### Dependence on the Available Statistics

Number of muon tracks needed for a given accuracy:



- 2 μm accuracy is achievable with 5 000 muon tracks.
- 1 000 tracks provide an accuracy of better than 10 μm.
- The method is not reliable with less than 500 tracks.

## A Comment on the Size of the Track Fit Variance

- Iteration method is sensitive to the initial resolution estimate.
- This sensitivity increases with the size of the track fit variance.
- The variance of the track fit through an 8-layer chamber is small, due to the larger number of track points ⇒

the resolution can be determined more accurately.



#### Iteration Method with Curved Track Fit

• 
$$\sigma_{fit}(y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \cdot z + \alpha_2 \cdot z^2) > \sigma_{fit}(y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \cdot z)$$

 the variance of the curved track fit becomes comparable to the single-tube resolution ⇒ iteration method highly sensitive, the convergence is lost!



OUTLINE/ MOTIVATION/ TWO APPROACHES/ MONTE-CARLO STUDIES/ TESTBEAM DATA/ R(T)-DEPENDENCE/ SUMMARY

# $\chi^2$ -Method with Curved Track Fit

- The track fit variance is described by an exact formula  $\Longrightarrow$  the convergence is not lost!
- Initial resolution estimate defines only the selection of hit points for the track reconstruction (second order effect).
- Accuracy is slightly worse than for the straight track fit.



OUTLINE/ MOTIVATION/ TWO APPROACHES/ MONTE-CARLO STUDIES/ TESTBEAM DATA/ R(T)-DEPENDENCE/ SUMMARY

#### Influence of the $\gamma$ -irradiation

- $\chi^2$ -method applied on the X5-testbeam data taken in 2002.
- The resolution depends strongly on the background count rate.
- Additional background hits increase the variation of the track fit variance within a given *r*-bin.



#### Dependence on the r(t)-Relation

- Previous results are obtained with the accurate r(t)-relation.
- Assume a wrong r(t)-realation,  $r(t) \rightarrow (r + \delta r)(t)$
- Take  $\delta r = A \cdot \frac{2\pi r}{R}$ , R outer tube diameter (the residuals of the track fit still remain equal to zero).



Effect on the resolution determination:

$$\begin{split} & \left[\sigma^2(r_k - r_k^{(fit)})\right]' \\ &= \sigma^2(r_k + \delta r_k - r_k^{(fit)} - \delta r_k^{(fit)}) \\ &= \left[\sigma^2(r_k - r_k^{(fit)})\right] \cdot \left(1 + \left(\frac{d(\delta r)}{dr}\right)_{r^{(fit)}}^2\right) \end{split}$$

#### Dependence on the r(t)-Relation



- The deviation from the true resolution as expected.
- With an r(t)-accuracy of 100  $\mu$ m the resolution can be determined with an accuracy of 5  $\mu$ m.

Two methods for the determination of the spatial drift-tube resolution using straight or curved muon tracks have been tested for the performance in the ATLAS environment.

#### $\chi^2$ -method .vs. iteration method:

- Faster and more robust.
- No need for the iterations, i.e. re-tracking.

#### Accuracy of both methods:

- $\sim 1~000$  muon tracks needed for a reliable performance, accuracy of better than 10  $\mu$ m.
- Accuracy of 2  $\mu$ m with 5 000 tracks.
- Distortion of the r(t)-relation up to 100  $\mu$ m (initial r(t)-accuracy) introduces a resolution error of less than 10  $\mu$ m.