9 April 1999
DRAFT

Quality Assurance

for ATLAS MDT Chamber Construction

Task Owner QA/QC Procedures

Description of the Quality Assurance Procedures for the Construction of the Monitored Drift Tube chambers for the ATLAS muon spectrometer.

Table 1: Quality control tests of drift tube materials at the chamber production sites

Test	Acceptance criteria	Reaction if failed	Data base	Comment
Aluminum tube				
Visual inspection of inside and outside	no obvious defects, proper cleaning, deburring, straightness, bar code, packing	reject tube, notify supplier	central	1: sample test/box 2: every tube before wiring
Outer diameter envelope, from 8 points on circumference	$29970 - 15\ \mu m$	reject box	central	sample test/box
Wall thickness, at 8 points on circumference	$400 - 30\ \mu m$	reject box	central	sample test/box
Length L	$L - 0.5$ mm ($L \leq 1$ m) $L - 0.7$ mm ($L > 1$ m)	reject box	central	sample test/box
Straightness	insertion in jig without difficulties	reject tube, notify supplier	central	sample test/box
Wire				
Visual inspection	no defects of gold-plating, proper cleaning, no kinks	reject spool	central	1: first meters and every 100 m per spool under microscope, 2: inspection during wiring
Endplug				
Visual inspection	proper cleaning and no obvious defects of plastic, O-rings, wire locator, Al reference surface, crimp tube	reject endplug, notify supplier	central	1: sample test/batch 2: each endplug before wiring
Outer diameter envelope, from 8 points on circumference (optional)	$30020 - 10\ \mu m$	reject batch	central	sample test/batch
Wire locator outer diameter	within tolerance	reject batch	central	sample test/batch

Table 3: Quality control tests of drift tubes at the chamber production sites

Test	Acceptance criteria	Reaction if failed	Data base	Comment
Drift tube				
Visual inspection	no obvious damage of tube or endplug, no visible defects of tube and wire crimp, tube correctly sealed	reject tube, adjust wiring station	local	each tube after wiring, before chamber assembly
Aluminum tube outer diameter envelope in endplug region	less than 30.020 mm	adjust wiring setup	local	sample test/day after wiring
Length L	$L = 0.5$ mm at $20 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C	reject drift tube, adjust wiring station	local	1: sample test/day 2: each tube on chamber assembly jig
Relative azimutal orientation of endplugs	± 10 mrad	reject drift tube, adjust wiring station	local	1: sample test/day 2: each tube on chamber assembly jig
Straightness	insertion in jig without difficulties	reject drift tube	local	1: sample test/day 2: each drift tube during chamber assembly

Table 3: Quality control tests of drift tubes at the chamber production sites (cont.)

Test	Acceptance criteria	Reaction if failed	Data base	Comment
Drift tube				
Room temperature	$20 \pm 2^\circ$ C	adjust	local	during tube tests
Relative humidity (optional)			local	monitoring
Temperature of tube	$20 \pm 1^\circ$ C	adjust	local	during tube assembly
Temperature of tube	known within $\pm 1^\circ$ C	repeat measurement	central	during wire tension measurement
Wire tension (oscillation frequency)	within $\pm 5\%$ of nominal value	reject drift tube	central	1: shortly after tube wiring 2: after min. two months, before assembly
Wire location at tube ends	$\pm 25\,\mu$m in x and z w.r.t. center of endplug reference cylinder	reject drift tube, inspect endplugs and wiring station	central	sample test on day of wiring, tube held as in assembly jig, incl. test of coaxiality of endplugs, measurement at 0 and 180°
Pressure test at 3 bar pressure difference	no obvious leaks	reject drift tube, inspect endplugs, adjust wiring station	local	before leak test
Leak rate at 2 bar pressure difference	less than 10^{-2} bar l/s	reject drift tube, inspect endplugs, adjust wiring station	central	
HV stability test: leakage current	less than 2 nA/m	reject drift tube, inspect endplugs, tubes, wire	central	with $Ar:CO_2$ (93:7) at 3 bar and 3100 V (1.5×10^5 gas gain)
HV stability test: cosmic count rate (optional)	within $\pm 3\sigma$ of nominal value	reject drift tube, inspect endplugs, tube, wire	central	with $Ar:CO_2$ (93:7) at 3 bar and 3100 V (1×10^4 gas gain)

Table 5: Quality control measurements during chamber assembly.

Test	Acceptance criteria	Reaction if failed	Data base	Comment
Environment				
Room temperature	20 – 1.5 C	adjust	local	before gluing
Relative humidity	50 – 10 %	adjust	local	before gluing
Atmospheric pressure (optional)			local	monitoring
Temperature of chamber	20 – 0.5° C	adjust	local	before gluing
Temperature of jigging	20 – 0.5° C	adjust	local	before gluing
Temperature difference betw. chamber and jig	< 0.2° C	adjust	local	before gluing
Spacer assembly				
Glue gaps between tube layer on combs and cross plates	200 – 1000 µm	adjust	local	both orientations of cross plates around z-axis
On-chamber gas system				
Leak rate	less than 10^{-3} bar l/s	repair	local	of preassembled gas manifolds
Flow rate/tube	to be specified	repair		for preassembled gas manifolds

Table 6: Quality control measurements during chamber assembly (cont.)

Test	Acceptance criteria	Reaction if failed	Data base	Comment
Assembly of tube layers				
Vacuum suction underpressure	at nominal value	adjust	local	tube positioning on combs during gluing
Horizontal gaps between tubes	not more than two adjacent tube walls touching; no adjacent endplugs touching	relocate drift tubes		before gluing
Vertical position of endplug reference surfaces	± 10 μm of nominal value	relocate drift tubes	local	before gluing
Sphere locations	± 10 μm of nominal value	adjust	local	before gluing; with sphere and in-plane monitors
Outer cross plate sags on the assembly table	-10 μm	adjust	local	before gluing; with temporary cross plate monitors
Middle cross plate sag on the assembly table	-20 μm	adjust	local	before gluing; with temporary cross plate monitors

Table 7: Quality control tests of assembled chambers at the production sites

Test	Acceptance criteria	Reaction if failed	Data base	Comment
Mechanical Tests				
Sag of chamber with kinematical supports before sag compensation		store data	central	y readings of in-plane monitors
Sag of chamber on kinematical supports after sag compensation	within $-20\ \mu$ of wire sag	adjust sag compensation until tolerance reached, store data	central	y readings of in-plane monitors
Deformation of cross plates on kinematical support (optional)	$< 20\ \mu$m	store data	central	with temporary cross plate sag monitors

Table 8: Quality control tests of assembled chambers at the production sites (cont.)

Test	Acceptance criteria	Reaction if failed	Data base	Comment
Operation Tests				
Pressure test at 4 bar	test done, no obvious leaks	repair gas manifold, repair/disconnect faulty tubes, store ID	central	before leak test
Leak rate at 3 bar	less than 2×10^{-3} bar l/s per tube	repair gas manifold, repair/disconnect faulty tubes, store ID	central	
HV stability: leakage current	less than 5 nA/channel	replace electronics boards; identify, sputter/disconnect faulty drift tubes, store ID	central	with installed electronics boards, with baseline gas at 3 bar and nominal and 5× nominal gas gain
HV stability: cosmic count rate **(optional)**	less than 5× nominal value	identify noisy channels, store ID	central	with installed electronics boards, with baseline gas at 3 bar and nominal gas gain
Operation in cosmic ray test-stand	evaluation of performance: noise level, efficiency, random rate, max. drift time, resolution vs. x, uniformity local wire displacements	make chamber operational, store ID of faulty tubes	central	with test electronics, with baseline gas at 3 bar and nominal gas gain
Wire location measurement with cosmic rays **(optional)**	no systematic deviations from expected wire grid	store wire location data and chamber ID, adjust assembly tooling in case of deviations	central	track reconstruction in cosmic ray tower

Table 9: Quality control tests of assembled chambers during storage and at CERN

Test	Acceptance criteria	Reaction on failure	Data base	Comment
Visual inspection	no visible damage	perform possible repairs, mark chamber for X-ray tomograph and full leak test		acceptance test after transport to CERN
Leak rate at 3 bar	less than 2×10^{-3} bar l/s per tube	repair gas manifold, repair/disconnect faulty tubes, store ID	central	1: full leak test for one chamber per transport to CERN (acceptance test) or if visible damage of chamber 2: monitoring of pressure (at constant temperature) over storage time
Wire location measurement with X-ray tomograph (incl. location of wire on the alignm. platforms)	± 20 μm rms in y and z with respect to expected wire grid	store wire location data and chamber ID, adjust assembly tooling in case of deviations, measure chambers since problem first detected	central	1: sample test/production site 2: for chambers with expected mechanical problems
HV stability: leakage current	less than 5 nA/channel	replace electronics boards; identify, disconnect faulty tubes, store ID	central	after mounting of final electronics, with baseline gas at 3 bar and nominal and $2\times$ nominal gas gain
Operating test	evaluation of performance: pulser test (correct connections), signals from all channels, noise level, random rate, uniformity	replace electronics, make chamber operational	local	after mounting of final electronics, with baseline gas at 3 bar and nominal operating conditions
Wire tension (osc. frequency)	within $\pm 5\%$ of nominal value	store data, notify production sites, measure other chambers	central	for one chamber/production site at regular intervals over storage time