

**28 April 1999
DRAFT**

**Quality Assurance
for ATLAS MDT Chamber Construction**

Task Owner QA/QC Procedures

Description of the Quality Assurance Procedures for the Construction
of the Monitored Drift Tube chambers for the ATLAS muon spectrometer.

Table 4: Quality control tests of drift tube materials at the chamber production sites

Test	Acceptance criteria	Retention if failed	Date base	Comment
Aluminum tube				
Visual inspection of inside and outside	no obvious defects or holes; proper cleaning; straightness, barcode, marking	reject tube; reject supplier	central	1: suitable test batch 2: every tube before writing
Outer diameter envelope, from 8 points on circumference	$2327 \pm 15 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$	reject box	central	suitable test batch
Wall thickness, at 8 points on circumference	$170 \pm 30 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$	reject box	central	suitable test batch
Length l	$l = 3.5 \text{ mm } (l \leq 1 \text{ m})$ $l = 3.7 \text{ mm } (l > 1 \text{ m})$	reject box	central	suitable test batch
Straightness	iteration in Σ_2 without differences	reject tube; reject supplier	central	suitable test batch
Wire				
Visual inspection	no defects of gold-plating; proper cleaning, no kinks	reject spool	central	1: first materials and every 1.1 m per spool under reference 2: inspection during writing
Endplugs				
Visual inspection	proper cleaning and no obvious defects of plastic; Crimp, wire locator; All reference surface, crimp tube	reject endplug;	central	1: suitable test batch 2: each endplug before writing
Outer diameter envelope, from 8 points on circumference (optional)	$3320 \pm 10 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$	reject batch	central	suitable test batch
Wire locator outer diameter	within tolerance	reject batch	central	suitable test batch

Table 2: Quality control tests of drift tubes at the chamber production sites

Test	Acceptance criteria	Reaction if failed	Date base	Comment
Drift tube visual inspection	no obvious damage of tube or endshp, no visible defects of tube and wire; witness tube correctly sealed	reject tube; adjust welding station	local	each tube after welding, before chamber assembly
Adjustable tube outer diameter acceptance in endshp region	less than 30.323 mm	adjust welding setup	local	sample test/day after welding
Length l_c	$l_c = 3.5$ mm $\pm 2.0 - 1.5$ °C	reject drift tube; adjust welding station	local	1: sample test/day 2: each tube on chamber assembly line
Relative azimuthal orientation of endshps	-1.0 mm/d	reject drift tube; adjust welding station	local	1: sample test/day 2: each tube on chamber assembly line
Straightness	insertion in T2 without difficulties	reject drift tube	local	1: sample test/day 2: each drift tube during chamber assembly
Ground contact resistance ρ	less than 1.0 MΩ	reject laser welding	local	1: sample test/day 2: monitoring of selected tubes over time

Table 3: Quality control tests for all drift tubes at the chamber production sites

Test	Acceptance criteria	Action if failed	Date base	Comment
Drift tube Extrusion temperature	$270 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$	adjust	local	during tube tests
Relative humidity (optional)			local	monitoring
Temperature of tube	$270 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$	adjust temperature or position	local	during tube assembly
Temperature of tube	known within $\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$	repeat measurement	central	during wire tension measurement
Wire tension (oscillation frequency)	within $\pm 7\%$ of the nominal value $41.20 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$	reject drift tube	central	1: shortly after tube wiring 2: after min. two months before assembly
Wire location at the tube ends	within ± 25 µm of the center of the endflange surface in α and γ	reject drift tube, inspect endflanges and wiring station	central	sample test on day of wiring; tube held as in assembly fig. incl. test of coaxiality of endflanges; measurements at 3° and 180°
Gas pressure test at 3 bar overpressure	no obvious leaks	reject drift tube, inspect endflanges, and/or wiring station	local	
Gas leak rate at 2 bar overpressure	less than 10^{-8} mbar/s	reject drift tube, inspect endflanges, and/or wiring station	central	after pressure test
IV stability test: leakage current	less than $\pm 0.5\text{nA}/\text{m}$	reject drift tube, inspect endflanges, tube, wire	central	with $\text{Ar}(\text{CO}_2, 0.337)$ at 3 bar and 3130 V
IV stability test: constant current	within $\pm 3\%$ of nominal value (optional)	reject drift tube, inspect endflanges, tube, wire	central	with $\text{Ar}(\text{CO}_2, 0.337)$ at 3 bar and 3130 V

Table 4: High-voltage test equipment at the production sites

Site	Wire tension (voltage, frequency)	Wire position	Leak rate (no hole tube)	IV test (leakage current)	IV test (conducive rate)
Freiburg	Excitation in B-field;	Brandolis N-ray system	Leak detector with multi-hole containers	IV system with pA-meter	Yes
LMU / WIP	C.A.I.N SV7012 meter; excitation in B-field;	Brandolis N-ray system; R1773	Pressure rise in evacuated volume at standing (S-lab test); Leak detector;	IV system with pA sensitivity As Parcia (single-hole)	IVN, leak detector; shaker
NTU Athens	C.A.I.N SV7012 meter; excitation in B-field;	Brandolis N-ray system			
Univ. Athens			As NTU Athens (after module 3)		
Theessinkiki (Acoust., Lab.)	Excitation in B-field			C.A.I.N SV1127 (11 channels); I.n.A. sensitivity IV system	
Frescati	Electrodynamic excita.; multi-channel system; integration with leak test;	Electromagnetic; as Parcia/kone	Leak detector; no single-hole containers	C.A.I.N SV7113 (20 channel); I.n.A. sensitivity IV system; integration with leak test.	Yes
Copenha	C.A.I.N SV7012 meter; excitation in B-field; integration with leak test;	Electromagnetic; as Parcia/kone	As Parcia; antennaline control system	C.A.I.N SV7113 (20 channel); I.n.A. sensitivity IV system; integration with leak test.	
Pavia	As Röntg	Electromagnetic (EMR)	As EMR mass spectrometer; no single-hole containers	IV system (11 channels) with pA-meter; integration with leak test	
Rome	Excitation in B-field; multi-channel	Electromagnetic (EMR)	As Parcia or Parcia; no multi-hole containers	IV system (11 channels) with pA-meter; integration with leak test	As Parcia
NIKHEF	Mechanical excitation; radio-electric measurement	Electromagnetic; as Parcia/kone	Leak detector; multi-hole container	Multi-channel IV system; integration with leak test.	Yes
Dubna	Excitation in B-field; meter custom design (also for Röntg)	Brandolis N-ray system; R1773	Leak detector; multi/single-hole containers	C.A.I.N SV7113 (20 channel); I.n.A. sensitivity IV system	IVN, leak detector; shaker
Perugia	Excitation in B-field; meter custom design	Brandolis N-ray system	Leak detector		
Boston	Excitation in B-field; meter custom design	Brandolis N-ray system	Leak detector; multi-hole container	Multi-channel IV system; integration with leak test	Yes
Michigan	As Seattle	Electromagnetic; as Parcia/kone	Leak detector; vacuumed volume; adding and removing along tube	Multi-channel IV system	
Seattle	Excitation in B-field; meter custom design	Electromagnetic; as Parcia/kone	As Michigan		

Table 5: Quality control tests during chamber assembly.

Test	Acceptance criteria	Reaction if failed	Date test	Comment
Environment				
Room temperature	$20 \pm 1.5^\circ\text{C}$	adj. insl.	local	before glueing
Relative humidity	$70 \pm 10\%$	adj. insl.	local	before glueing
Atmospheric pressure (optional)			local	monitoring
Temperature of chamber	$20 \pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$	adj. insl.	local	uniform, before glueing
Temperature of zigging	$20 \pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$	adj. insl.	local	uniform, before glueing
Temperature difference chamber-zigging	< 0.2°C	adj. insl.	local	during glueing
Spacer assembly				
Gaps between interlayer on contacts and cross plates	$200 \pm 100\text{ }\mu\text{m}$	adj. insl.	local	both orientations of cross plates around 2x3s
On-chamber gas system				
Leak rate	less than 10^{-8} bar l/s	repair	local	of pressurized gas manifolds
Flow rate/lane	to be specified	repair		for pressurized gas manifolds

Table 6: Quality control tests during chamber assembly (cont.)

Test	Acceptance criteria	Reaction if failed	Date base	Comment
Assembly of tube layers				
Vacuum seal on under-pressure	at nominal value	adjust.	local	Take readout on comb during gluing
Horizontal gaps between tubes	not more than two adjacent tube walls touching	relative drift tubes	local	before gluing
Length of stretching reference surfaces	within $\pm 10 \mu\text{m}$ of nominal value in α	relative drift tubes	local	before gluing
Stability of in-plane monitor readings (image displacement) Spher locations	within $\pm 10 \mu\text{m}$ of nominal value in α and β within $\pm 10 \mu\text{m}$ of nominal value in α and γ	adjust.	central	after each gluing step definition of set of in-plane monitors; after correction for middle before each gluing step with spher and in-plane monitors
Outer cross plate set on the assembly table (optional)	zero within $\pm 10 \mu\text{m}$	adjust.	local	before gluing of a layer, measured with temporary cross plate set monitors
Middle cross plate set on the assembly table (optional)	zero within $\pm 20 \mu\text{m}$	adjust.	local	before gluing of a layer, measured with in-plane monitors plus outer cross plate set correction
Alignment Platform				
Angular alignment of axial/praxial platforms	within $\pm 20 \mu\text{rad}$, $\pm 20^\circ$ pitch, $\pm 20^\circ$ yaw, $\pm 20^\circ$ roll around x_1 , y_1 , z_1 axes, with chamber fixed axes, orthogonal to $(100 \mu\text{m})$ cross plate length	stereo data	central	after each gluing step (initially); includes accuracy of rec. of thermal and gravitational deformations with in-plane system
Angular alignment of perspective platforms	within $\pm 20 \mu\text{rad}$, $\pm 80^\circ$ pitch, $\pm 80^\circ$ yaw, $\pm 80^\circ$ roll around x_1 , y_1 , z_1 axes, with chamber coordinate axes	stereo data	central	includes accuracy of rec. of thermal and gravitational deformations with in-plane system

Table 7: Quality control tests of all assembled characters at the production sites

Test	Acceptance criteria	Reaction if failed	Rate base	Comment
Mechanical Tests:				
Sag of chamber with kinematical supports before sag compensation	above data	central	q readings of in-plane members	
Sag of chamber on kinematical supports after sag compensation	within $\pm 2\text{ }\mu$ of zero sag	adjust sag compensation until tolerance reached; above data	central	q readings of in-plane members
Deformation of cross plates on kinematical supports (recommended for PV, IVO)	$< 20\text{ }\mu\text{m}$	above data	central	with temporary cross plate sag members and IVA model

Table S8: Quality control tasks of all assembled chambers at the production sites (cont.)

Test	Acceptance criteria	Action if failed	Date base	Comment
Operation Tests				
Gas pressure test: at 3 bar overpressure	leak detection; no obvious leaks	repair gas manifold; repair/disconnect fancy tubes, store H3	central	
Gas leak rate: at 2 bar overpressure	less than 2×10^{-8} bar l/s per tube	repair gas manifold; repair/disconnect fancy tubes, store H3	central	
11V stability: gas leakage current	less than $2 \text{ nA}/\text{m}^2 - 5 \text{ nA}$ per channel	replace electronics boards; identify, splitter disconnected; fancy drift tubes, store H3	central	with installed electronics boards, baseline gas at 3 bar and nominal gas gain gas gain
11V stability: cosmic count rate (optional)	within -3% of nominal value	identify noisy channels, store H3	local	with installed electronics boards, baseline gas at 3 bar and nominal gas gain
Operation in cosmic ray test: sites	evaluation of performance: noise level, efficiency, random rate, max. drift time, resolution vs. η , uniformity, local wire displacement, stable performance of all channels over 1–2 weeks	make chamber operational; store H3 of fancy tubes	local	with test electronics, baseline gas at 3 bar and nominal gas gain
Wire location measurement with cosmic rays (optional)	no systematic deviations from expected wire grid	store wire location date incl. meas. errors; additional assembly tooling in case of deviations	central	track reconstruction in cosmic ray layer

Table 9: Quality control tests of all assembled chambers after transport to CERN and during storage

Test	Acceptance criteria	Reaction on failure	Data base	Comment
Visual inspection	no visible damage	perform possible repairs, mark chamber for X-ray tomograph and full leak test.		acceptance test after transport to CERN
Gas leak rate at 3 bar	less than 2×10^{-8} bar l/s per tube	repair gas manifold, repair disconnect faulty tubes, store H3	central	1: full leak test for one chamber per transport to CERN (acceptance test) or if visible damage of chamber 2: monitoring of pressure (at known temperature) over storage time
HV stability: 1eA leakage current	less than 5 mA/channel	replace electronics boards, identify disconnect faulty tubes, store H3	central	after mounting of final electronics, with baseline 2.48 at 3 bar and nominal and 2.5 nominal 2.48 gain
Operating test	evaluation of performance: banker test (current, connection), signals from all channels, noise level, random rate, uniformity	replace electronics, make chamber operational	local	after mounting of final electronics, with baseline 2.48 at 3 bar and nominal operating conditions

Table 10: Quality control tests of selected characters from each production site after transfer to CECIN and during stay at^a

Test	Acceptance criteria	Reaction on failure	Data base	Comment
Wire location measurement with X-ray tomograph (first location of wire on the platform, platforms)	-2) into rms in w and z with respect to the expected wire grid	store wire location data and character ID; adjust assembly tooling in case of deviations, measure characters area problem first character	central	1. catastrophe test/production site 2. for characters with selected mechanical problems
Wire tension (pos. frequency)	within -5%	store data, modify production sites, measure other characters	central	for one character/production site at regular intervals over storage time (decontamination means, 60 – 15 °C)
Intergrounded contacts, resistance	less than 100 mΩ	store data, modify production sites, measure other characters	central	for one character/production site at regular intervals over storage time