

## Testing the Standard Model I WiSe 2021, Prof. Hubert Kroha

Tutorial Set 2 Tutor: Dr. Michael Holzbock

**1. Second Quantization** The path to quantum field theory involves roughly two steps: the change from a discrete mechanical system to fields with infinite degrees of freedom and a quantization of these fields. For the latter consider a highly simplified (spin-0, one-dimensional) Lagrangian of the field  $\hat{\phi}(x,t)$ 

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{\phi}}{\partial t} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{\phi}}{\partial x} \right)^2.$$

Following the ideas of quantum mechanics,  $\hat{\phi}(x,t)$  can be expressed as a Fourier expansion of the creation and annihilation operators  $\hat{a}^{\dagger}$  and  $\hat{a}$ :

$$\hat{\phi}(x,t) = \int \frac{dk}{2\pi\sqrt{2\omega}} [\hat{a}(k)e^{ikx-i\omega t} + \hat{a}^{\dagger}a(k)e^{-ikx+i\omega t}] \,.$$

- (a) Calculate the 'momentum field'  $\hat{\pi}(x,t)$ .
- (b) Verify that imposing the following commutation relations for  $\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{a}^{\dagger}$

$$\begin{split} & [\hat{a}(k), \hat{a}^{\dagger}(k')] = 2\pi\delta(k - k') \\ & [\hat{a}(k), \hat{a}(k')] = [\hat{a}^{\dagger}(k), \hat{a}^{\dagger}(k')] = 0 \end{split}$$

are consistent with the equal time commutation relation between  $\hat{\pi}$  and  $\hat{\phi}$ 

$$\left[ \hat{\phi}(x,t), \hat{\pi}(y,t) \right] = i \delta(x-y) \, .$$

(c) Consider the unequal time commutator  $D(x_1, x_2) = [\hat{\phi}(\vec{x}_1, t_1), \hat{\phi}(\vec{x}_2, t_2)]$ , where  $\hat{\phi}$  is a massive KG field in three dimensions. Show that

$$D(x_1, x_2) = \int \frac{dk^3}{(2\pi)^3 2E} \left[ e^{-ik \cdot (x_1 - x_2)} - e^{ik \cdot (x_1 - x_2)} \right]$$

where  $k \cdot (x_1 - x_2) = E(t_1 - t_2) - \vec{k} \cdot (\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_2)$  and  $E = (\vec{k}^2 + m^2)^{1/2}$ . Show that  $D(x_1, x_2)$  vanishes for  $t_1 = t_2$  and use its Lorentz invariance to show that it vanishes for all space-like separations  $(x_1 - x_2)^2 < 0$ .

- (d) Derive the expression for the Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}$ .
- (e) Insert the expansions of  $\hat{\phi}$  and  $\hat{\pi}$  into your result of (d) and verify that

$$\hat{H} = \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \bigg\{ \frac{1}{2} [\hat{a}^{\dagger}(k)\hat{a}(k) + \hat{a}(k)\hat{a}^{\dagger}(k)]\omega \bigg\}.$$

## 2. Dirac Spinors

The Dirac equation  $(\gamma^{\mu}p_{\mu}-m)\psi(x)=0$  has the following spinor solutions  $u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}, v^{(1)}$  and  $v^{(2)}$ :

$$\begin{split} u^{(1)} &= \sqrt{E+m} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \frac{p_z}{E+m} \\ \frac{p_x + i p_y}{E+m} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \qquad u^{(2)} &= \sqrt{E+m} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \frac{p_x - i p_y}{E+m} \\ \frac{-p_z}{E+m} \end{pmatrix}, \\ v^{(1)} &= \sqrt{E+m} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p_x - i p_y}{E+m} \\ \frac{-p_z}{E+m} \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \qquad v^{(2)} &= -\sqrt{E+m} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p_z}{E+m} \\ \frac{p_x + i p_y}{E+m} \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$



## Testing the Standard Model I WiSe 2021, Prof. Hubert Kroha

Tutorial Set 2 Tutor: Dr. Michael Holzbock

(a) Show that for  $\vec{p}=(0,0,p_z),$  the Dirac spinor  $u^{(1)}$  takes the form

$$u^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{E+m} \\ 0 \\ \sqrt{E-m} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Also calculate  $u^{(2)}, v^{(1)}$  and  $v^{(2)}$  for this case.

- (b) Show that the spinors given in part (a) are eigenstates under the spin operator  $S_z$  and determine the eigenvalues.
- (c) How do the spinors in (a) look in the non-relativistic limit  $(\vec{p}^2 \ll m^2)$ ?
- (d) How do the spinors in (a) look in the ultra-relativistic limit  $(\vec{p}^2 \gg m^2)$ ?

## 3. Intrinsic Parity

A  $\Delta^0$  baryon (quark content *udd*, spin 3/2) decays through the strong force as  $\Delta^0 \rightarrow p\pi^-$ .

- (a) Which angular momenta of the  $p\pi^-$  system are allowed by angular momentum conservation?
- (b) From the decay angle distribution of the decay products an angular momentum l = 1 can be derived. What is thus the intrinsic parity of the  $\Delta^0$ ?
- (c) Why can the intrinsic parity of  $K^+$  mesons not be determined from the  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  decay? Propose a reaction with which the parity of the  $K^+$  can be measured in principle. Draw the corresponding quark-line diagrams.
- **4. Pion Decay** Consider the pion decay (assume massless neutrinos):

 $\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ + \nu_e \,, \quad \pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ + \nu_\mu \,.$ 

- (a) Draw the quark-line diagram for the decay  $\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ + \nu_e$ , including the force carrier particle. Also draw the spin configuration of the decay products.
- (b) Because of its finite mass, the antilepton has a small right-handed component, proportional to  $1 \beta_{\ell}$ . The transition probability  $|\mathcal{M}_{\pi\ell}|^2$  is therefore proportional to  $1 \beta_{\ell}$ . Derive an equation for  $1 \beta_{\ell}$ , by first deriving equations for the momentum  $p_{\ell}$  and the energy  $E_{\ell}$  of the charged lepton  $\ell$  as function of the lepton mass  $m_{\ell}$  and pion mass  $m_{\pi}$ .
- (c) Which decay happens more often and why? What would happen if  $m_e = m_\mu = 0$ ?
- (d) Which process does one get when parity conjugation  $\hat{P}$  is applied to the decay  $\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+\nu_e$ ? Sketch the spin configuration of the decay products. Is this process allowed?